Published
November 2026

Authorship
Aidan Smith

MEMO

NATIONAL SURVEY:
DEMOCRATIC LEADERSHIP, HARRIS 2028, AND CORPORATE POWER



LABYRINTH
INSIGHTS

LABYRINTH INSIGHTS

Survey on Democratic leadership, Harris 2028 and corporate power

Labyrinth Insights commissioned a survey of 800 U.S. adults age 18 and older who identify as Democrats or lean towards the Democratic Party. The poll was commissioned through survey research firm **Verasight**, which conducted the poll November 11-16, 2026. A margin of error of ±3.5 percentage points was reported for the full sample. This uses the standard random-sampling formula and accounts for the survey's design effect. This margin of error figure does not apply to subgroup estimates. This report does not include significance tests for subgroup differences.

Verasight is a member of the American Association for Public Opinion Research Transparency Initiative, and it has served as a data-collection partner for the 2026 Voter Poll by SSRS. Its public polls appear in major trackers, including The New York Times and Silver Bulletin.

The survey's sample responses were weighted to reflect the demographic profile of Democrats and Democratic-leaners in the 2026 National Public Opinion Reference Survey (NPORS). Weighting accounted for age, race/ethnicity, sex, income, education, region and metropolitan status. The results of the survey use these final weights, and no additional weighting or filtering was applied after delivery.

Verasight invited members of its survey community to participate by email. Members are recruited through random address-based sampling, random person-to-person text messaging and targeted online recruitment. Only responses that passed Verasight's data quality checks were included in the results.

Demographic information of respondents comes from details previously provided to Verasight. Race and Hispanic ethnicity are both separate variables; accordingly, Hispanic respondents are also included in the race categories. "Democrats" denote individuals who identify with the Democratic Party. "Democratic leaners" encompass individuals who identify as independents, with another party, or with no party, but lean towards the Democratic Party. Party affiliation is self-reported and does not denote voter registration.

In the education categories, "No BA" refers to individuals without a bachelor's degree; "BA or higher" encompasses both respondents with bachelor's degrees and postgraduate degrees.

How to read the tables

The tables provide percentages for both the full sample and for each group listed. All percentages are weighted by Verasight's weights, including "Not sure" responses. The base rows show the real number of respondents and the sum of their weights, rounded to one decimal place.

N=800 for every question. Percentages among groups with under 50 respondents are displayed as "—". This is because estimates based on small groups can be unreliable. The number of respondents in these groups is still listed, and their answers remain in the full-sample results. All 800 respondents answered every question. If a respondent's profile contained missing information, their response was excluded only from the breakdown that required that information. The final page lists those missing fields.

[1] Schumer leadership

"Thinking about Democrats in the Senate, do you think they should keep Chuck Schumer as their leader, or choose someone else?"

Topline, gender, age and degree attainment

Response (%)	Topline	Female	Male	Other gender	18–29	30–44	45–64	65+	No BA	BA or higher
Keep Chuck Schumer	**17**	17	16	—	10	20	18	16	18	15
Choose someone else	**57**	52	65	—	57	53	55	63	51	66
Not sure	**26**	30	19	—	33	27	27	20	32	18
Unweighted base n	800	485	310	5	124	185	263	226	457	343
Weighted base	800.0	482.3	312.0	5.7	136.6	182.9	257.6	221.2	467.0	333.0

Political views and party identification

Response (%)	Topline	Very liberal or progressive	Somewhat liberal or progressive	Moderate	Conservative	Liberal / progressive (combined)	Democrat	Democratic leaner
Keep Chuck Schumer	**17**	15	16	17	—	16	19	10
Choose someone else	**57**	66	63	46	—	64	57	57
Not sure	**26**	18	21	37	—	20	24	32
Unweighted base n	800	242	273	253	32	515	620	180
Weighted base	800.0	243.0	269.6	254.9	32.5	512.6	621.2	178.8

Race and Hispanic ethnicity (separate profile variables)

Response (%)	Topline	Black or African-American	Pacific Islander	Asian or Asian-American	White	Native American or American Indian	Mixed race	Some other race	Hispanic: Yes	Hispanic: No
Keep Chuck Schumer	**17**	31	—	—	13	—	11	—	16	17
Choose someone else	**57**	37	—	—	64	—	57	—	55	58
Not sure	**26**	31	—	—	23	—	32	—	29	25
Unweighted base n	800	166	3	47	483	14	56	31	173	627
Weighted base	800.0	172.0	2.9	49.5	469.4	14.1	58.0	34.2	183.6	616.4

Reported 2024 Harris voter subsets

Response (%)	Topline	Reported 2024 Harris voters	Women who reported voting for Harris in 2024
Keep Chuck Schumer	**17**	16	18

Response (%)	Topline	Reported 2024 Harris voters	Women who reported voting for Harris in 2024
Choose someone else	**57**	61	56
Not sure	**26**	22	25
Unweighted base n	800	622	367
Weighted base	800.0	618.4	363.3

Figures are weighted and rounded to whole percentages. Percentages are withheld when under 50 respondents answered. As a result of rounding, a column's total may come out to above or below 100%.

[1] Schumer leadership | Additional profile crosstabs

"Thinking about Democrats in the Senate, do you think they should keep Chuck Schumer as their leader, or choose someone else?"

Education: full profile categories

Response (%)	Topline	Some high school or less	High school graduate or GED	Some college, no degree	2-year or associate degree	4-year or bachelor degree	Post-graduate degree
Keep Chuck Schumer	**17**	–	22	9	13	14	18
Choose someone else	**57**	–	44	64	55	67	66
Not sure	**26**	–	35	27	32	20	16
Unweighted base n	800	25	224	131	77	210	133
Weighted base	800.0	26.1	232.6	131.6	76.7	203.9	129.1

Income: full profile categories

Response (%)	Topline	Under $15,000	$15,000–under $50,000	$50,000–under $75,000	$75,000–under $100,000	$100,000–under $150,000	$150,000–under $200,000	Over $200,000
Keep Chuck Schumer	**17**	18	18	11	19	18	17	–
Choose someone else	**57**	46	47	65	58	67	71	–
Not sure	**26**	37	34	23	22	15	12	–
Unweighted base n	800	97	235	155	104	108	52	47
Weighted base	800.0	100.0	238.3	153.1	104.0	106.0	50.6	45.9

Census region and metropolitan status

Response (%)	Topline	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Non-metro	Metropolitan
Keep Chuck Schumer	**17**	22	15	17	13	17	17
Choose someone else	**57**	60	61	48	67	59	57
Not sure	**26**	17	25	36	20	24	26
Unweighted base n	800	155	149	294	197	80	715
Weighted base	800.0	158.7	135.6	302.5	198.2	65.1	729.9

Reported 2024 participation and presidential vote choice

Response (%)	Topline	Reported voting	Too young to vote	Not registered	Did not vote	Kamala Harris	Donald Trump	Someone else	Did not report voting / not asked
Keep Chuck Schumer	**17**	17	–	–	16	16	–	–	14
Choose someone else	**57**	61	–	–	39	61	–	–	39

Response (%)	Topline	Reported voting	Too young to vote	Not registered	Did not vote	Kamala Harris	Donald Trump	Someone else	Did not report voting / not asked
Not sure	**26**	22	—	—	45	22	—	—	47
Unweighted base n	800	674	8	25	93	622	29	23	126
Weighted base	800.0	671.2	9.0	25.7	94.2	618.4	29.3	23.4	128.8

Figures are weighted and rounded to whole percentages. Percentages are withheld when under 50 respondents answered. As a result of rounding, a column's total may come out to above or below 100%.

[2] Schumer vs Van Hollen

"Would you prefer that Democratic Senators pick Senator Chuck Schumer of New York to continue as their leader, or replace him with Senator Chris Van Hollen of Maryland?"

Topline, gender, age and degree attainment

Response (%)	Topline	Female	Male	Other gender	18–29	30–44	45–64	65+	No BA	BA or higher
Continue with Chuck Schumer	**19**	21	16	–	13	20	22	19	22	16
Replace Chuck Schumer with Chris Van Hollen	**36**	30	46	–	32	35	36	40	33	41
Not sure	**45**	49	38	–	56	45	42	41	45	43
Unweighted base n	800	485	310	5	124	185	263	226	457	343
Weighted base	800.0	482.3	312.0	5.7	136.6	182.9	257.6	221.2	467.0	333.0

Political views and party identification

Response (%)	Topline	Very liberal or progressive	Somewhat liberal or progressive	Moderate	Conservative	Liberal / progressive (combined)	Democrat	Democratic leaner
Continue with Chuck Schumer	**19**	17	20	20	–	18	21	12
Replace Chuck Schumer with Chris Van Hollen	**36**	43	38	29	–	40	37	34
Not sure	**45**	40	43	51	–	41	42	54
Unweighted base n	800	242	273	253	32	515	620	180
Weighted base	800.0	243.0	269.6	254.9	32.5	512.6	621.2	178.8

Race and Hispanic ethnicity (separate profile variables)

Response (%)	Topline	Black or African-American	Pacific Islander	Asian or Asian-American	White	Native American or American Indian	Mixed race	Some other race	Hispanic: Yes	Hispanic: No
Continue with Chuck Schumer	**19**	35	–	–	15	–	18	–	21	19
Replace Chuck Schumer with Chris Van Hollen	**36**	25	–	–	41	–	34	–	38	36
Not sure	**45**	41	–	–	44	–	48	–	41	45
Unweighted base n	800	166	3	47	483	14	56	31	173	627
Weighted base	800.0	172.0	2.9	49.5	469.4	14.1	58.0	34.2	183.6	616.4

Reported 2024 Harris voter subsets

Response (%)	Topline	Reported 2024 Harris voters	Women who reported voting for Harris in 2024
Continue with Chuck Schumer	**19**	18	22
Replace Chuck Schumer with Chris Van Hollen	**36**	38	31
Not sure	**45**	44	47
Unweighted base n	800	622	367
Weighted base	800.0	618.4	363.3

Figures are weighted and rounded to whole percentages. Percentages are withheld when under 50 respondents answered. As a result of rounding, a column's total may come out to above or below 100%.

[2] Schumer vs Van Hollen | Additional profile crosstabs

"Would you prefer that Democratic Senators pick Senator Chuck Schumer of New York to continue as their leader, or replace him with Senator Chris Van Hollen of Maryland?"

Education: full profile categories

Response (%)	Topline	Some high school or less	High school graduate or GED	Some college, no degree	2-year or associate degree	4-year or bachelor degree	Post-graduate degree
Continue with Chuck Schumer	**19**	—	25	15	18	17	15
Replace Chuck Schumer with Chris Van Hollen	**36**	—	29	40	35	41	41
Not sure	**45**	—	46	45	47	43	44
Unweighted base n	800	25	224	131	77	210	133
Weighted base	800.0	26.1	232.6	131.6	76.7	203.9	129.1

Income: full profile categories

Response (%)	Topline	Under $15,000	$15,000–under $50,000	$50,000–under $75,000	$75,000–under $100,000	$100,000–under $150,000	$150,000–under $200,000	Over $200,000
Continue with Chuck Schumer	**19**	18	23	17	24	16	16	—
Replace Chuck Schumer with Chris Van Hollen	**36**	28	33	35	33	43	56	—
Not sure	**45**	54	45	48	43	40	29	—
Unweighted base n	800	97	235	155	104	108	52	47
Weighted base	800.0	100.0	238.3	153.1	104.0	106.0	50.6	45.9

Census region and metropolitan status

Response (%)	Topline	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Non-metro	Metropolitan
Continue with Chuck Schumer	**19**	24	16	21	15	20	19
Replace Chuck Schumer with Chris Van Hollen	**36**	36	38	32	42	31	37
Not sure	**45**	40	47	46	43	49	44
Unweighted base n	800	155	149	294	197	80	715
Weighted base	800.0	158.7	135.6	302.5	198.2	65.1	729.9

Reported 2024 participation and presidential vote choice

Response (%)	Topline	Reported voting	Too young to vote	Not registered	Did not vote	Kamala Harris	Donald Trump	Someone else	Did not report voting / not asked
Continue with Chuck Schumer	**19**	19	—	—	19	18	—	—	19
Replace Chuck Schumer with Chris Van Hollen	**36**	38	—	—	27	38	—	—	25
Not sure	**45**	42	—	—	54	44	—	—	56
Unweighted base n	800	674	8	25	93	622	29	23	126
Weighted base	800.0	671.2	9.0	25.7	94.2	618.4	29.3	23.4	128.8

Figures are weighted and rounded to whole percentages. Percentages are withheld when under 50 respondents answered. As a result of rounding, a column's total may come out to above or below 100%.

[3] Harris 2028

"Do you think Kamala Harris should run for president again in 2028?"

Note: The order of Yes and No was randomized; Not sure remained last.

Topline, gender, age and degree attainment

Response (%)	Topline	Female	Male	Other gender	18–29	30–44	45–64	65+	No BA	BA or higher
Yes	**39**	45	28	–	54	50	39	20	50	23
No	**47**	40	59	–	36	42	45	60	39	58
Not sure	**14**	15	13	–	10	8	16	20	11	18
Unweighted base n	800	485	310	5	124	185	263	226	457	343
Weighted base	800.0	482.3	312.0	5.7	136.6	182.9	257.6	221.2	467.0	333.0

Political views and party identification

Response (%)	Topline	Very liberal or progressive	Somewhat liberal or progressive	Moderate	Conservative	Liberal / progressive (combined)	Democrat	Democratic leaner
Yes	**39**	38	31	44	–	34	41	30
No	**47**	47	54	42	–	51	45	55
Not sure	**14**	15	15	13	–	15	14	15
Unweighted base n	800	242	273	253	32	515	620	180
Weighted base	800.0	243.0	269.6	254.9	32.5	512.6	621.2	178.8

Race and Hispanic ethnicity (separate profile variables)

Response (%)	Topline	Black or African-American	Pacific Islander	Asian or Asian-American	White	Native American or American Indian	Mixed race	Some other race	Hispanic: Yes	Hispanic: No
Yes	**39**	70	–	–	27	–	39	–	43	38
No	**47**	19	–	–	57	–	48	–	45	48
Not sure	**14**	11	–	–	16	–	12	–	13	15
Unweighted base n	800	166	3	47	483	14	56	31	173	627
Weighted base	800.0	172.0	2.9	49.5	469.4	14.1	58.0	34.2	183.6	616.4

Reported 2024 Harris voter subsets

Response (%)	Topline	Reported 2024 Harris voters	Women who reported voting for Harris in 2024
Yes	**39**	36	44

Response (%)	Topline	Reported 2024 Harris voters	Women who reported voting for Harris in 2024
No	**47**	49	40
Not sure	**14**	15	16
Unweighted base n	800	622	367
Weighted base	800.0	618.4	363.3

Figures are weighted and rounded to whole percentages. Rounding can put a column's total above or below 100%. Percentages are withheld where fewer than 50 people answered.

[3] Harris 2028 | Additional profile crosstabs

"Do you think Kamala Harris should run for president again in 2028?"

Note: The order of Yes and No was randomized; Not sure remained last.

Education: full profile categories

Response (%)	Topline	Some high school or less	High school graduate or GED	Some college, no degree	2-year or associate degree	4-year or bachelor degree	Post-graduate degree
Yes	**39**	—	59	39	39	29	14
No	**47**	—	30	47	51	56	63
Not sure	**14**	—	12	14	10	15	23
Unweighted base n	800	25	224	131	77	210	133
Weighted base	800.0	26.1	232.6	131.6	76.7	203.9	129.1

Income: full profile categories

Response (%)	Topline	Under $15,000	$15,000–under $50,000	$50,000–under $75,000	$75,000–under $100,000	$100,000–under $150,000	$150,000–under $200,000	Over $200,000
Yes	**39**	66	51	42	22	19	8	—
No	**47**	25	36	44	59	61	72	—
Not sure	**14**	9	13	14	19	20	20	—
Unweighted base n	800	97	235	155	104	108	52	47
Weighted base	800.0	100.0	238.3	153.1	104.0	106.0	50.6	45.9

Census region and metropolitan status

Response (%)	Topline	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Non-metro	Metropolitan
Yes	**39**	33	33	49	32	45	38
No	**47**	55	52	35	55	45	47
Not sure	**14**	12	16	16	13	10	15
Unweighted base n	800	155	149	294	197	80	715
Weighted base	800.0	158.7	135.6	302.5	198.2	65.1	729.9

Reported 2024 participation and presidential vote choice

Response (%)	Topline	Reported voting	Too young to vote	Not registered	Did not vote	Kamala Harris	Donald Trump	Someone else	Did not report voting / not asked
Yes	**39**	36	—	—	52	36	—	—	54

Response (%)	Topline	Reported voting	Too young to vote	Not registered	Did not vote	Kamala Harris	Donald Trump	Someone else	Did not report voting / not asked
No	**47**	50	—	—	34	49	—	—	33
Not sure	**14**	14	—	—	15	15	—	—	13
Unweighted base n	800	674	8	25	93	622	29	23	126
Weighted base	800.0	671.2	9.0	25.7	94.2	618.4	29.3	23.4	128.8

Figures are weighted and rounded to whole percentages. Percentages are withheld when under 50 respondents answered. As a result of rounding, a column's total may come out to above or below 100%.

[4] Corporate friendliness

"In recent years, do you think the Democratic Party's leaders have been [not friendly enough/too friendly] toward big corporations, [too friendly/not friendly enough], or about right?"

Note: Bracketed wording shows the randomized order of "not friendly enough" and "too friendly" in the question.

Topline, gender, age and degree attainment

Response (%)	Topline	Female	Male	Other gender	18–29	30–44	45–64	65+	No BA	BA or higher
Not friendly enough	**6**	5	8	—	7	5	6	6	7	4
Too friendly	**42**	37	50	—	56	42	43	33	39	47
About right	**33**	34	31	—	22	36	32	38	33	33
Not sure	**19**	24	11	—	15	17	20	22	21	15
Unweighted base n	800	485	310	5	124	185	263	226	457	343
Weighted base	800.0	482.3	312.0	5.7	136.6	182.9	257.6	221.2	467.0	333.0

Political views and party identification

Response (%)	Topline	Very liberal or progressive	Somewhat liberal or progressive	Moderate	Conservative	Liberal / progressive (combined)	Democrat	Democratic leaner
Not friendly enough	**6**	2	6	8	—	4	6	7
Too friendly	**42**	65	43	24	—	53	42	43
About right	**33**	24	35	37	—	29	34	29
Not sure	**19**	10	16	31	—	13	18	21
Unweighted base n	800	242	273	253	32	515	620	180
Weighted base	800.0	243.0	269.6	254.9	32.5	512.6	621.2	178.8

Race and Hispanic ethnicity (separate profile variables)

Response (%)	Topline	Black or African-American	Pacific Islander	Asian or Asian-American	White	Native American or American Indian	Mixed race	Some other race	Hispanic: Yes	Hispanic: No
Not friendly enough	**6**	9	—	—	6	—	2	—	7	6
Too friendly	**42**	33	—	—	44	—	42	—	47	41
About right	**33**	32	—	—	33	—	36	—	30	34
Not sure	**19**	27	—	—	17	—	21	—	16	20
Unweighted base n	800	166	3	47	483	14	56	31	173	627
Weighted base	800.0	172.0	2.9	49.5	469.4	14.1	58.0	34.2	183.6	616.4

Reported 2024 Harris voter subsets

Response (%)	Topline	Reported 2024 Harris voters	Women who reported voting for Harris in 2024
Not friendly enough	**6**	4	4
Too friendly	**42**	45	39
About right	**33**	33	35
Not sure	**19**	18	22
Unweighted base n	800	622	367
Weighted base	800.0	618.4	363.3

Figures are weighted and rounded to whole percentages. Percentages are withheld when under 50 respondents answered. As a result of rounding, a column's total may come out to above or below 100%.

[4] Corporate friendliness | Additional profile crosstabs

"In recent years, do you think the Democratic Party's leaders have been [not friendly enough/too friendly] toward big corporations, [too friendly/not friendly enough], or about right?"

Bracketed wording shows the randomized order of "not friendly enough" and "too friendly" in the question.

Education: full profile categories

Response (%)	Topline	Some high school or less	High school graduate or GED	Some college, no degree	2-year or associate degree	4-year or bachelor degree	Post-graduate degree
Not friendly enough	**6**	—	6	8	7	4	4
Too friendly	**42**	—	33	45	43	48	47
About right	**33**	—	35	27	33	33	33
Not sure	**19**	—	25	19	18	15	15
Unweighted base n	800	25	224	131	77	210	133
Weighted base	800.0	26.1	232.6	131.6	76.7	203.9	129.1

Income: full profile categories

Response (%)	Topline	Under $15,000	$15,000–under $50,000	$50,000–under $75,000	$75,000–under $100,000	$100,000–under $150,000	$150,000–under $200,000	Over $200,000
Not friendly enough	**6**	8	6	6	3	7	6	—
Too friendly	**42**	31	41	45	41	47	52	—
About right	**33**	39	32	31	34	30	31	—
Not sure	**19**	22	21	19	23	16	12	—
Unweighted base n	800	97	235	155	104	108	52	47
Weighted base	800.0	100.0	238.3	153.1	104.0	106.0	50.6	45.9

Census region and metropolitan status

Response (%)	Topline	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Non-metro	Metropolitan
Not friendly enough	**6**	4	6	4	9	5	6
Too friendly	**42**	45	46	35	50	38	43
About right	**33**	31	31	38	28	39	32
Not sure	**19**	20	17	23	13	19	19
Unweighted base n	800	155	149	294	197	80	715
Weighted base	800.0	158.7	135.6	302.5	198.2	65.1	729.9

Reported 2024 participation and presidential vote choice

Response (%)	Topline	Reported voting	Too young to vote	Not registered	Did not vote	Kamala Harris	Donald Trump	Someone else	Did not report voting / not asked
Not friendly enough	**6**	6	—	—	4	4	—	—	5
Too friendly	**42**	45	—	—	25	45	—	—	29
About right	**33**	32	—	—	39	33	—	—	39
Not sure	**19**	17	—	—	31	18	—	—	28
Unweighted base n	800	674	8	25	93	622	29	23	126
Weighted base	800.0	671.2	9.0	25.7	94.2	618.4	29.3	23.4	128.8

Figures are weighted and rounded to whole percentages. Percentages are withheld when under 50 respondents answered. As a result of rounding, a column's total may come out to above or below 100%.

[5] Political views

"Which of the following best describes your political views?"

Topline, gender, age and degree attainment

Response (%)	Topline	Female	Male	Other gender	18–29	30–44	45–64	65+	No BA	BA or higher
Very liberal or progressive	**30**	30	30	–	50	32	26	22	28	34
Somewhat liberal or progressive	**34**	34	34	–	34	29	30	42	27	43
Moderate	**32**	32	33	–	15	32	40	33	39	22
Conservative	**4**	5	3	–	2	7	4	3	6	1
Unweighted base n	800	485	310	5	124	185	263	226	457	343
Weighted base	800.0	482.3	312.0	5.7	136.6	182.9	257.6	221.2	467.0	333.0

Party identification and reported Harris voter subsets

Response (%)	Topline	Democrat	Democratic leaner	Reported 2024 Harris voters	Women who reported voting for Harris in 2024
Very liberal or progressive	**30**	34	18	33	33
Somewhat liberal or progressive	**34**	35	28	36	36
Moderate	**32**	26	51	28	28
Conservative	**4**	4	4	3	3
Unweighted base n	800	620	180	622	367
Weighted base	800.0	621.2	178.8	618.4	363.3

Race and Hispanic ethnicity (separate profile variables)

Response (%)	Topline	Black or African-American	Pacific Islander	Asian or Asian-American	White	Native American or American Indian	Mixed race	Some other race	Hispanic: Yes	Hispanic: No
Very liberal or progressive	**30**	27	–	–	31	–	39	–	32	30
Somewhat liberal or progressive	**34**	22	–	–	35	–	35	–	29	35
Moderate	**32**	44	–	–	30	–	22	–	35	31
Conservative	**4**	8	–	–	3	–	4	–	4	4
Unweighted base n	800	166	3	47	483	14	56	31	173	627
Weighted base	800.0	172.0	2.9	49.5	469.4	14.1	58.0	34.2	183.6	616.4

Figures are weighted and rounded to whole percentages. Percentages are withheld when under 50 respondents answered. As a result of rounding, a column's total may come out to above or below 100%.

[5] Political views | Additional profile crosstabs

Which of the following best describes your political views?

Education: full profile categories

Response (%)	Topline	Some high school or less	High school graduate or GED	Some college, no degree	2-year or associate degree	4-year or bachelor degree	Post-graduate degree
Very liberal or progressive	**30**	–	24	34	34	35	33
Somewhat liberal or progressive	**34**	–	23	36	25	46	39
Moderate	**32**	–	45	26	38	18	28
Conservative	**4**	–	8	5	4	2	0
Unweighted base n	800	25	224	131	77	210	133
Weighted base	800.0	26.1	232.6	131.6	76.7	203.9	129.1

Income: full profile categories

Response (%)	Topline	Under $15,000	$15,000–under $50,000	$50,000–under $75,000	$75,000–under $100,000	$100,000–under $150,000	$150,000–under $200,000	Over $200,000
Very liberal or progressive	**30**	18	33	33	33	30	33	–
Somewhat liberal or progressive	**34**	30	29	24	36	51	40	–
Moderate	**32**	46	31	39	27	19	25	–
Conservative	**4**	6	6	4	4	1	2	–
Unweighted base n	800	97	235	155	104	108	52	47
Weighted base	800.0	100.0	238.3	153.1	104.0	106.0	50.6	45.9

Census region and metropolitan status

Response (%)	Topline	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Non-metro	Metropolitan
Very liberal or progressive	**30**	35	36	25	32	27	31
Somewhat liberal or progressive	**34**	33	40	30	36	36	33
Moderate	**32**	30	22	40	28	33	32
Conservative	**4**	3	3	5	4	4	4
Unweighted base n	800	155	149	294	197	80	715
Weighted base	800.0	158.7	135.6	302.5	198.2	65.1	729.9

Reported 2024 participation and presidential vote choice

Response (%)	Topline	Reported voting	Too young to vote	Not registered	Did not vote	Kamala Harris	Donald Trump	Someone else	Did not report voting / not asked
Very liberal or progressive	**30**	32	—	—	18	33	—	—	21
Somewhat liberal or progressive	**34**	35	—	—	28	36	—	—	27
Moderate	**32**	30	—	—	47	28	—	—	44
Conservative	**4**	3	—	—	8	3	—	—	8
Unweighted base n	800	674	8	25	93	622	29	23	126
Weighted base	800.0	671.2	9.0	25.7	94.2	618.4	29.3	23.4	128.8

Figures are weighted and rounded to whole percentages. Percentages are withheld when under 50 respondents answered. As a result of rounding, a column's total may come out to above or below 100%.

Notes on methodology and tabulation

Recruitment, verification and data quality

All respondents were recruited via email from the Verasight Community. Community members are verified through multi-step authentication, including an SMS response from a mobile phone registered with a major U.S. carrier, rather than a VOIP or internet phone. Within-survey technology, including Google reCAPTCHA v3, helps detect non-human responses. Community members who exhibit low-quality behavior over time, such as straight-lining or speeding, are removed and prohibited from further participation.

Members earn points that can be exchanged for Venmo or PayPal payments, gift cards or donations to charity. They are paid for every survey they are invited to take and are not sent from one survey to another. This reduces the incentive to give misleading answers to the screening questions.

After collection, Verasight confirmed U.S. IP addresses, checked for duplicate and non-human respondents, and removed respondents who failed in-survey attention or straight-lining checks. Respondents completing the survey in less than 30% of the median completion time were removed. Verasight is a member of the American Association for Public Opinion Research Transparency Initiative.

Subgroup information

Demographic information comes from information provided to Verasight. For Q3, Yes appeared first for 406 respondents and No appeared first for 394; Not sure was always third. For Q4, “not friendly enough” appeared first in the question wording for 408 respondents and “too friendly” appeared first for 392. These Q4 fields establish wording order, not the display order of response options.

Missing profile fields

Age is missing for 2 respondents; income for 2; metropolitan status for 5; and Census region for 5. Those respondents remain in all toplines and all unrelated subgroup tables. Party lean is not applicable to 620 base Democrats. 162 of the respondents who identify as Democratic leaners are independents; another 18 identified with other/none. Presidential vote choice in 2024 was not asked of the 126 respondents who did not report voting.

Sources and calculation

We calculated the tables from Verasight’s final respondent data and weights for survey #2026-325. Questions and answer labels come from the codebook. Recruitment and sample details come from Verasight’s methodology statement. Percentages were calculated by adding the weights of respondents choosing that answer, dividing by the total weight in that column and multiplying by 100. Only the final result was rounded. All answer options (including Not sure) remain in the calculation. The respondent counts are not weighted.